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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>127.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 65 +</td>
<td>25.7 million (20.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFR</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>JPY 504 trillion (USD 4.6 trillion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita</td>
<td>USD 36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Benefits</td>
<td>JPY 87.9 trillion (17.4% of GDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Pension Benefits</td>
<td>JPY 46.3 trillion (9.2% of GDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of SS Pension Enrollees</td>
<td>70.45 million (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of SS Pension Beneficiaries</td>
<td>32.87 million (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Normal Pensionable Age</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Implementation Issues in JPN

- Conventional Ideas of Pension Experts in the World
- Realities Made Public in 2007
  50 Million Records, Floating
- Causes of Unintegration
- Some Discussions
Conventional Ideas of Pension Experts in the World

“Making pension institutions operate effectively is an enormous challenge. Many things can and do go wrong. --- Pension institutions work with reasonable reliability. --- In Western Europe, the United States and Japan, pension institutions, both public and private, work reasonably effectively.” (Stanford G. Ross, 2004)

“Employers’ compliance with contribution regulations --- is considered to be high in Japan.” (Warren McGillivray, 2001)

“Social security administrators are sometimes reluctant to admit they face compliance problems.” (W. McGillivray, 2001)
Last May, it came to light in JPN that there are 50 million pending pension records, shattering the public trust for SS pensions. It still remains one of top priority issues in the political arena of JPN.

- several SS pension programs among different sectors of the populations
- no unified pension numbers introduced until January 1997
- Pension numbers were usually changed when the person moved, changed his/her job and name.
11 years ago, we had 300 million pension numbers registered in the database, while approx. 100 million people were newly given their unified pension numbers at that time.

The matching (identifying) process of each existing number to the unified one was very slow. Even today, 11 years later, 50 million records remain unintegrated.

Incidentally, 50 mil. records tend to be misunderstood as 50 mil. people. However, many cases are of those holding two or more pension pocket-books. Eliminating the deceased and the unqualified, it is most probable that actual number of floating records is no more than 10 mil. people.
## Unintegrated Pension Records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 60+ (Under 60)</td>
<td>28.8 million</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Age 60 (60+)</td>
<td>22.15 million</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNH</td>
<td>39.7 million</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN</td>
<td>11.3 million</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As at June 2006*
Main Causes of Unintegration

- human errors by enrollees, their employers and agencies
- systemic errors in record keeping based on one-sided application and notification by enrollees; Social Insurance Agency is **NOT** allowed to identify (integrate) numbers **without** proper notification by enrollees
- no monitoring organizations, effectively implemented
Unrealistic Assumptions in Pension Record
Keeping in JPN

- Do enrollees and their employers always declare accurately and honestly?
- Will enrollees **NOT** lose any of his/her pension pocket books or pay-slip?
- Did everyone reply to the inquiry postcards sent 11 years ago by the SIA?
- Does the agency make no mistakes?
Some Discussions (1)

- Gov’t officials in JPN used to be regarded as the best and brightest. Observed was too much reliance on bureaucracy in the past. The general public believed that Gov’t officials would be able to do and virtually would have done everything correctly without committing any errors for the public.

- 50 million floating pension records were considered as a betrayal, inducing blazing anger against the bureaucrat last year.

- Many Japanese now feel that the Gov’t is not reliable any more. As distrust against Gov’t commitment builds up, concern about such a “problem of lack of trust” is also growing.
Some Discussions (2)

- Compliance would surely be lowered, and the actual level of SS pension coverage in JPN would shrink consequently in recent years.

- The trustworthy government with its competent and neat implementation is, thus, the basis for good coverage of SS pensions.

- Human errors are inevitable. Regular and prompt examinations over possible errors are required for proper record keeping of pensions. Upon any no-match identified, a two-way notification and confirmation with correction should follow in due course.
Record Keeping of Occupational Pensions

- unpaid pension benefits in the KNK in 2006
  - early retirees: 1.24 million persons
  - mandatory retirees at age 60: 137,000 persons (5.6%)  
- mainly due to the unknown current addresses
Brief Outline of Pension Provisions in JPN

- **Background**
- **Current Provisions**
  - Two-tier Benefits (DB)
  - Contributions
  - Transfer from GR
- **Current Role of SS Pension Benefits**
  - Share in Retirement Income
  - Poverty Incidence
The earliest plan was established in 1890. It was for military servants. The scheme was then expanded to civil servants. The principal program is the Kosei-Nenkin-Hoken (KNH), which was enacted in 1942. It was rebuilt in 1954 shifting from an earnings-related pension to a two-tier benefit system with flat-rate basic benefits.

The latest one (the Kokumin-Nenkin, KN) was introduced in 1961 for all the remaining others. It has the flat-rate basic pensions, only.

JPN has several SS pension systems, covering different sectors of the population.
Two-tier Benefit System of SS Pensions

Basic Benefits (the KN)

- independent workers, self-employed and jobless persons (the KN)
- employees in the private sector and their dependent spouses (the KNH)
- civil servants/other employees and their dependent spouses (one special program)

Notes: KNH = Kosei-Nenkin-Hoken, KN = Kokumin-Nenkin
Two-tier Benefits (DB)

- **Basic Flat-rate Benefits (PM, PP)**
  
  66,000 yen = USD 600

- **Earnings-related Benefits (40Yrs)**
  
  28.5% of Career Average Monthly Real Earnings

- **Replacement Rate (One-earner Couple)**
  
  currently around 60% net (233,000 yen = USD 2,100) at age 65  △ 50% net in 15 yrs

- **CPI Indexation: Provisionally Suspended**
  
  New Formula, taking demographic factors into account
Contributions/Transfer from GR

- **Contributions**
  - 14.996% of Annual Wages
  - 14,100 yen (PM, PP) for Non-employees

- **Subsidy from General Revenue**
  - 1/3 of Flat-rate Basic Benefits
  - 1/2 of Flat-rate Basic Benefits from 2009

- Mainly PAYG, but with Partial Prefunding
Current Role of SS Pension Benefits

- Share of SS Pension Benefits in Retirement Income
- Per-capita Income by Age
- Current Poverty Incidence
SS pension benefits are the major source of retirement income

median income: 3.38 million yen

Source: The 1989 NSFIE (elderly couples: median income group)
Too Much Transfers from Younger Generations

- It is amazing that currently in Japan, the elderly are better off than those aged 30 to 44 in terms of per capita income after redistribution.

- Current pension benefits are still too generous and there is room for reduction in pension benefits.
Per-capita Income by Age in Japan

Financial Difficulties in Meeting Everyday Expenses in Selected OECD Countries, 2000

Percentage of individuals reporting each of the three types of financial difficulty

Coverage Issues

- Theory and Practice
- The Last Resort
- Current Discussions
Basic Philosophy in Designing SS Pensions

- Egalitarian Thoughts Prevailing Nationwide
  
  very small proportion of other ethnics than Japanese over the tot. populations

  key phrase in making a political compromise:
  
  “We are all Japanese!”

  no discriminations (classes) politically admitted among Japanese people

- Basic Philosophy: SS Pensions for all Japanese through the Social Insurance System (Mandatory for All)
Categories of the Insured in Basic Pensions

1. all the remaining others (22 million, 31%)
2. regular employees (38 million, 54%)
3. dependent spouses of Cat. 2 (11 million, 16%)
   full-time housewives and atypical employees with less than 30 working hours per week or with annual income less than 1.3 million yen

*Figures in ( ) indicate the number and proportion of persons in FY 2005.
Actual Limitations in JPN Social Insurance System: Drop-out from 1st-tier Basic Pensions in 2006

- No registration as the insured: 180,000 persons
- Contributions Exempted: 5.28 million persons
- Contributions Delinquent: 3.22 million persons
- Total: 8.68 million persons (40.9% of Category 1 persons; 12.7% of all the insured)
Drop-out from Basic Pensions (Category 1)
Delinquency in Paying Pension Contributions

% YEAR


37.2
Proportion of Each Component among Category 1 Persons in Basic Pensions (Those of Ages 20-59 in 2005)

- Self-employed persons: 4.0 million (20%)
- Non-employed Persons: 7.0 million (32%)
  (students, unemployed or non-employed persons excluding “dependent” spouses of regular employees)
- Atypical Workers: 6.0 million (27%)
  (part-time, seasonal, temporary, and contract employees)
- Others: 6.0 million (27%)
- Total: 22.0 million
Growing Number in Part-time Employees*

- 12.66 million persons in 2005 (24%)
  - 3.9 million persons in 1980 (10%)

- Share of part-time employees in 2005
  - Females (40.6%) / Males (12.3%)

- Females’ share in part-time employees
  - 70% in 2005

*Part-time employees: less than 35 working hours per week
Decreasing Number in Regular Employees

- **Males:**
  - 26.4 million in 1996 → 23.6 million in 2005

- **Females:**
  - 11.7 million in 1996 → 10.2 million in 2005
Special Provisions for Full-time Housewives

- Dependent spouses of those enrolled in the KNH are automatically entitled to the flat-rate basic benefits, and these spouses are not required to make any individual payments to the SS pension system.
### Existing and Estimated Non-beneficiaries of SS Pensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Those of Ages 65 +</td>
<td>420,000</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those of Ages 60-64</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those of Ages less than 60</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total: 1.18 mil. persons</strong> (1.1%) as at April 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cf. Those of ages 60 + with less than 25 covered years who can meet the minimum requirement (25 years) with additional contributions in the future: 370,000 persons
Number of the Elderly (Age 65 + ) Receiving Public Assistance: 556,000 persons in 2005

- Their share among the elderly population: 2.2%
- Their share among the elderly with receiving no SS pension benefits: 65%
- Beneficiaries of SS pensions or not:
  - Beneficiaries (262,000 persons, 47%)
  - Non-beneficiaries (294,000 persons, 53%)
- Their Monthly Amount of SS Pension Benefits
  - 46,000 yen on average
  - shorter years of coverage, advance payment before age 65, and mainly non-employees
Current Hot Discussions for Universal Pensions

- a shift from contribution-based basic pensions to tax-financed universal ones
  - what tax source? ear-marked consumption tax?
  - winners/losers?
  - transitions, workable?
  - what differences with public assistance?
  - claw-back? Income-tested or means-tested?

- Typical are the opinions by the Democratic Party, the JPN Management Federation, and the NIKKEI Newspaper Group.
Coverage of the 2\textsuperscript{nd}-tier Earning-related Pensions (KNH)

- Employers of small-size business establishments are often reluctant to participate in the compulsory KNH. Employers in a financial crisis are most likely to postpone the payment of SS contributions. As far as these two cases are concerned, the enforcement abilities of the SIA still remains relatively poor in Japan, compared with those of the tax authorities.

- No participation to the KNH, estimated in 2004
  
  630,000 $\sim$ 700,000 business establishments (28 $\sim$ 30%)
  
  2.67 million employees (7.6%)

Source: Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications
Concluding Remarks

- The principal scheme of SS pensions in JPN is a contribution-based insurance one. Yet, its coverage, overall, is among the highest in the world. Family dependence or reliance on public assistance in old age are currently at a very low level in the international standard.

- Program designs and their implementations are closely related to coverage issues.

- Trustworthy government with its competent and neat implementation is a prerequisite for broader coverage.

- Incentives, compliance and accountability are the basic requirements for keeping good coverage or expanding coverage.

- Heavier work still lies ahead. Let's go boldly forward until the whole work is done.